



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/782,510

02/18/2004

Venkatesh Veeraraghavan

50037.239US01

4724

27488 7590 09/26/2007  
MERCHANT & GOULD (MICROSOFT)  
P.O. BOX 2903  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903

EXAMINER

PATEL, HARESH N

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2154

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/26/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/782,510

Applicant(s)

VEERARAGHAVAN ET AL.

Examiner

Haresh Patel

Art Unit

2154

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 February 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 25 and 26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 February 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/3/2004.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-24 are subject to examination. Claims 25 and 26 are withdrawn.

#### ***Election/Restrictions***

2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-24, are drawn to, “generating a personal site having an address wherein the personal site has an associated first view and an associated second view”, classified in class 709, subclass 217.
  - II. Claims 25 and 25, are drawn to, “running a security check to authenticate the setting of the personal site and updating directory system”, classified in class 726, subclass 17.
3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II, are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as, “generating a personal site having an address wherein the personal site has an associated first view and an associated second view”, lacking one or more of the particulars of inventions II. Invention II has separate utility such as, “running a security check to authenticate the setting of the personal site as the default save location and updating directory system”, lacking one or more of the particulars of inventions of I. See MPEP 806.05.

Art Unit: 2154

4. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, and the search required for each Group is different and not co-extensive for examination purpose. For example, the searches for the two inventions would not be co-extensive because these groups would require different searches on PTO's classification class and subclass as following:

(a) Group I search (claims 1-24) would require use of search class 709, subclass 217 (not required for the invention II).

(b) Group II search (claims 25 and 26) would require use of search class 726, subclass 17 (not required for the invention I).

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the extensive search required for one group is not required for the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. A telephone call was made to Mr. Timothy P. Sullivan on 09/11/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement. Mr. Timothy P. Sullivan elected invention I without traverse.

Art Unit: 2154

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined. Applicant is requested to update the identifiers of the claims and cancel the withdrawn claims 25 and 26.

### ***Specification***

8. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The present title, system and method for personal sites, is too broad and is not sufficient for proper classification of the claimed subject matter.

### ***Drawings***

9. New corrected drawings are required in this application because Figures 2, 4, 6 and 7 contain unreadable small characters. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsman outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action. The amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled --Replacement Sheet-- in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

***Information Disclosure Statement***

10. An initialed and dated copy of the applicant's IDS form 1449, paper dated 9/3/04, is attached to the instant Office action.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

11. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps/elements/structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps/elements/necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps/elements/necessary structural connections are: 1) "web" before "site" of "personal site" 2) "of what" the first view is 3) "of what" the second view is 4) "what" is depending on the identity of the user.

The term "personal" in claims 1-24 is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. It is not apparent what is considered personal versus not personal as per the claimed invention.

The term "private" in claims 1-24 is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. It is not apparent what is considered private versus not private as per the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2154

Claims 1, 11, recite the limitations, “the identity”. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim (Please see MPEP 706.03(d)).

Claims 10, 17, 22, recite the limitations, “the same”. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim (Please see MPEP 706.03(d)).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

13. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gilmour et al. 2004/0068477 (Hereinafter Gilmour).

14. Referring to claim 1, Gilmour discloses a method for providing a personal site (e.g., page 2), comprising: generating a personal site having an address (e.g., page 2), wherein the personal site has an associated first view and an associated second view (e.g., page 2); and navigating a user to the personal site (e.g., page 3), wherein the user is navigated to the address and presented with the first view depending on the identity of the user (e.g., page 3).

Art Unit: 2154

15. Referring to claim 2, Gilmour discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Gilmour also discloses wherein the first view corresponds to a private view and the second view corresponds to a public view (e.g., page 3).

16. Referring to claim 3, Gilmour discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Gilmour also discloses wherein the user is navigated to the private view when the user corresponds to an owner with relation to the personal site (e.g., page 3).

17. Referring to claim 4, Gilmour discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Gilmour also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises providing the user with a selection for generating the personal site (e.g., page 3).

18. Referring to claim 5, Gilmour discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Gilmour also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises determining whether the user has permission to generate the personal site (e.g., page 3).

19. Referring to claim 6, Gilmour discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Gilmour also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises redirecting the user to a general site when the user does not have permission to generate the personal site (e.g., page 3).



Art Unit: 2154

20. Referring to claim 7, Gilmour discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Gilmour also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises determining whether another personal site already exists that is associated with the user (e.g., page 5).

21. Referring to claim 8, Gilmour discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Gilmour also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises redirecting the user to the other personal site when the other personal site does already exist (e.g., page 5).

22. Referring to claim 9, Gilmour discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Gilmour also discloses wherein navigating to the personal site further comprises comparing an identity of an owner associated with the personal site to the identity of the user (e.g., page 5).

23. Referring to claim 10, Gilmour discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Gilmour also discloses wherein the user is navigated to the first view when the identity of the owner and the identity of the user are the same, and the user is navigated to the second view when the identity of the owner and the identity of the user are different (e.g., page 5).

24. Referring to claim 11, Gilmour discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Gilmour also discloses a system for providing personal sites (e.g., page 2), comprising: a server including a network communication device coupled to a network and a data store (e.g., page 2), and a personal site application configured to perform actions (e.g., page 2), including: generating a personal site having an address (e.g., page 3), wherein the personal site has an associated first

Art Unit: 2154

view and an associated second view (e.g., page 3); and navigating a user to the personal site (e.g., page 3), wherein the user is navigated to the address and presented with the first view depending on the identity of the user (e.g., page 3).

25. Referring to claim 12, Gilmour discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above. Gilmour also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises determining whether the user has permission to generate the personal site (e.g., page 3).

26. Referring to claim 13, Gilmour discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above. Gilmour also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises presenting the user with an error message when the user does not have permission to generate the personal site (e.g., page 3).

27. Referring to claim 14, Gilmour discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above. Gilmour also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises determining whether another personal site already exists that is associated with the user (e.g., page 5).

28. Referring to claim 15, Gilmour discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above. Gilmour also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises redirecting the user to the other personal site when the other personal site does already exist (e.g., page 5).

Art Unit: 2154

29. Referring to claim 16, Gilmour discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Gilmour also discloses wherein navigating to the personal site further comprises comparing an identity of an owner associated with the personal site to the identity of the user (e.g., page 5).

30. Referring to claim 17, Gilmour discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Gilmour also discloses wherein the user is navigated to the first view when the identity of the owner and the identity of the user are the same, and the user is navigated to the second view when the identity of the owner and the identity of the user are different (e.g., page 5).

31. Referring to claim 18, Gilmour discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Gilmour also discloses setting the personal site as a default save location for resources generated by an owner associated with the personal site (e.g., page 7).

32. Referring to claim 19, Gilmour discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Gilmour also discloses determining whether a user has permission to generate the personal site (e.g., page 2); determining whether the personal site already exists (e.g., page 2); and creating the personal site when the user has permission to generate the personal site and the personal site does not already exist (e.g., page 3).

33. Referring to claim 20, Gilmour discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Gilmour also discloses wherein creating the personal site further comprises calling a personal site provisioning code (e.g., page 7).

34. Referring to claim 21, Gilmour discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Gilmour also discloses wherein creating the personal site further comprises redirecting the user to the personal site after the personal site is created (e.g., page 6).

35. Referring to claim 22, Gilmour discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Gilmour also discloses a method for navigating to a personal site (e.g., page 2), comprising: determining a user identity associated with a user navigating to the personal site (e.g., page 2); determining an owner identity associated with an owner of the personal site to which the user is navigating (e.g., page 2); comparing the user identity to the owner identity (e.g., page 2); redirecting the user to a first view associated with the personal site when the user identity and the owner identity are the same (e.g., page 5); and redirecting the user to a second view associated with the personal site when the user identity and the owner identity are different (e.g., page 5).

36. Referring to claim 23, Gilmour discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Gilmour also discloses wherein the first view corresponds to a private view and the second view corresponds to a public view (e.g., page 2).

37. Referring to claim 24, Gilmour discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Gilmour also discloses wherein a section contained within the personal site is different depending on the user identity (e.g., page 3).

Art Unit: 2154

38. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Meyerzon et al. 7,228,301 (Hereinafter Meyerzon).

39. Referring to claim 1, Meyerzon discloses a method for providing a personal site (e.g., col. 7), comprising: generating a personal site having an address (e.g., col. 7), wherein the personal site has an associated first view and an associated second view (e.g., col. 7); and navigating a user to the personal site (e.g., col. 8), wherein the user is navigated to the address and presented with the first view depending on the identity of the user (e.g., col. 8).

40. Referring to claim 2, Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above. Meyerzon also discloses wherein the first view corresponds to a private view and the second view corresponds to a public view (e.g., col. 8).

41. Referring to claim 3, Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above. Meyerzon also discloses wherein the user is navigated to the private view when the user corresponds to an owner with relation to the personal site (e.g., col. 7).

42. Referring to claim 4, Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above. Meyerzon also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises providing the user with a selection for generating the personal site (e.g., col. 8).

Art Unit: 2154

43. Referring to claim 5, Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above. Meyerzon also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises determining whether the user has permission to generate the personal site (e.g., col. 6).

44. Referring to claim 6, Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above. Meyerzon also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises redirecting the user to a general site when the user does not have permission to generate the personal site (e.g., col. 8).

45. Referring to claim 7, Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above. Meyerzon also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises determining whether another personal site already exists that is associated with the user (e.g., col. 5).

46. Referring to claim 8, Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above. Meyerzon also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises redirecting the user to the other personal site when the other personal site does already exist (e.g., col. 5).

47. Referring to claim 9, Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above. Meyerzon also discloses wherein navigating to the personal site further comprises comparing an identity of an owner associated with the personal site to the identity of the user (e.g., col. 5).

Art Unit: 2154

48. Referring to claim 10, Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Meyerzon also discloses wherein the user is navigated to the first view when the identity of the owner and the identity of the user are the same, and the user is navigated to the second view when the identity of the owner and the identity of the user are different (e.g., col. 5).

49. Referring to claim 11, Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Meyerzon also discloses a system for providing personal sites (e.g., col. 7), comprising: a server including a network communication device coupled to a network and a data store (e.g., col. 7), and a personal site application configured to perform actions (e.g., col. 7), including: generating a personal site having an address (e.g., col. 8), wherein the personal site has an associated first view and an associated second view (e.g., col. 8); and navigating a user to the personal site (e.g., col. 8), wherein the user is navigated to the address and presented with the first view depending on the identity of the user (e.g., col. 8).

50. Referring to claim 12, Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Meyerzon also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises determining whether the user has permission to generate the personal site (e.g., col. 8).

51. Referring to claim 13, Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Meyerzon also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises presenting the user with an error message when the user does not have permission to generate the personal site (e.g., col. 8).

Art Unit: 2154

52. Referring to claim 14, Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above. Meyerzon also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises determining whether another personal site already exists that is associated with the user (e.g., col. 5).

53. Referring to claim 15, Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above. Meyerzon also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises redirecting the user to the other personal site when the other personal site does already exist (e.g., col. 5).

54. Referring to claim 16, Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above. Meyerzon also discloses wherein navigating to the personal site further comprises comparing an identity of an owner associated with the personal site to the identity of the user (e.g., col. 5).

55. Referring to claim 17, Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above. Meyerzon also discloses wherein the user is navigated to the first view when the identity of the owner and the identity of the user are the same, and the user is navigated to the second view when the identity of the owner and the identity of the user are different (e.g., col. 5).

56. Referring to claim 18, Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above. Meyerzon also discloses setting the personal site as a default save location for resources generated by an owner associated with the personal site (e.g., col. 7).



Art Unit: 2154

57. Referring to claim 19, Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Meyerzon also discloses determining whether a user has permission to generate the personal site (e.g., col. 7); determining whether the personal site already exists (e.g., col. 7); and creating the personal site when the user has permission to generate the personal site and the personal site does not already exist (e.g., col. 8).

58. Referring to claim 20, Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Meyerzon also discloses wherein creating the personal site further comprises calling a personal site provisioning code (e.g., col. 7).

59. Referring to claim 21, Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Meyerzon also discloses wherein creating the personal site further comprises redirecting the user to the personal site after the personal site is created (e.g., col. 6).

60. Referring to claim 22, Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Meyerzon also discloses a method for navigating to a personal site (e.g., col. 7), comprising: determining a user identity associated with a user navigating to the personal site (e.g., col. 7); determining an owner identity associated with an owner of the personal site to which the user is navigating (e.g., col. 7); comparing the user identity to the owner identity (e.g., col. 7); redirecting the user to a first view associated with the personal site when the user identity and the owner identity are the same (e.g., col. 5); and redirecting the user to a second view associated with the personal site when the user identity and the owner identity are different (e.g., col. 5).

61. Referring to claim 23, Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above. Meyerzon also discloses wherein the first view corresponds to a private view and the second view corresponds to a public view (e.g., col. 7).

62. Referring to claim 24, Meyerzon discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above. Meyerzon also discloses wherein a section contained within the personal site is different depending on the user identity (e.g., col. 8).

63. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Doherty 2002/0052934 (Hereinafter Doherty).

64. Referring to claim 1, Doherty discloses a method for providing a personal site (e.g., page 2), comprising: generating a personal site having an address (e.g., page 2), wherein the personal site has an associated first view and an associated second view (e.g., page 2); and navigating a user to the personal site (e.g., page 3), wherein the user is navigated to the address and presented with the first view depending on the identity of the user (e.g., page 3).

65. Referring to claim 2, Doherty discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above. Doherty also discloses wherein the first view corresponds to a private view and the second view corresponds to a public view (e.g., page 3).

Art Unit: 2154

66. Referring to claim 3, Doherty discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Doherty also discloses wherein the user is navigated to the private view when the user corresponds to an owner with relation to the personal site (e.g., page 3).

67. Referring to claim 4, Doherty discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Doherty also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises providing the user with a selection for generating the personal site (e.g., page 3).

68. Referring to claim 5, Doherty discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Doherty also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises determining whether the user has permission to generate the personal site (e.g., page 3).

69. Referring to claim 6, Doherty discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Doherty also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises redirecting the user to a general site when the user does not have permission to generate the personal site (e.g., page 3).

70. Referring to claim 7, Doherty discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Doherty also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises determining whether another personal site already exists that is associated with the user (e.g., page 4).

Art Unit: 2154

71. Referring to claim 8, Doherty discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Doherty also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises redirecting the user to the other personal site when the other personal site does already exist (e.g., page 4).

72. Referring to claim 9, Doherty discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Doherty also discloses wherein navigating to the personal site further comprises comparing an identity of an owner associated with the personal site to the identity of the user (e.g., page 4).

73. Referring to claim 10, Doherty discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Doherty also discloses wherein the user is navigated to the first view when the identity of the owner and the identity of the user are the same, and the user is navigated to the second view when the identity of the owner and the identity of the user are different (e.g., page 4).

74. Referring to claim 11, Doherty discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Doherty also discloses a system for providing personal sites (e.g., page 2), comprising: a server including a network communication device coupled to a network and a data store (e.g., page 2), and a personal site application configured to perform actions (e.g., page 2), including: generating a personal site having an address (e.g., page 3), wherein the personal site has an associated first view and an associated second view (e.g., page 3); and navigating a user to the personal site (e.g., page 3), wherein the user is navigated to the address and presented with the first view depending on the identity of the user (e.g., page 3).

Art Unit: 2154

75. Referring to claim 12, Doherty discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Doherty also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises determining whether the user has permission to generate the personal site (e.g., page 3).

76. Referring to claim 13, Doherty discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Doherty also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises presenting the user with an error message when the user does not have permission to generate the personal site (e.g., page 3).

77. Referring to claim 14, Doherty discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Doherty also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises determining whether another personal site already exists that is associated with the user (e.g., page 4).

78. Referring to claim 15, Doherty discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Doherty also discloses wherein generating the personal site further comprises redirecting the user to the other personal site when the other personal site does already exist (e.g., page 4).

79. Referring to claim 16, Doherty discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Doherty also discloses wherein navigating to the personal site further comprises comparing an identity of an owner associated with the personal site to the identity of the user (e.g., page 4).

Art Unit: 2154

80. Referring to claim 17, Doherty discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Doherty also discloses wherein the user is navigated to the first view when the identity of the owner and the identity of the user are the same, and the user is navigated to the second view when the identity of the owner and the identity of the user are different (e.g., page 4).

81. Referring to claim 18, Doherty discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Doherty also discloses setting the personal site as a default save location for resources generated by an owner associated with the personal site (e.g., page 7).

82. Referring to claim 19, Doherty discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Doherty also discloses determining whether a user has permission to generate the personal site (e.g., page 2); determining whether the personal site already exists (e.g., page 2); and creating the personal site when the user has permission to generate the personal site and the personal site does not already exist (e.g., page 3).

83. Referring to claim 20, Doherty discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Doherty also discloses wherein creating the personal site further comprises calling a personal site provisioning code (e.g., page 7).

84. Referring to claim 21, Doherty discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above.

Doherty also discloses wherein creating the personal site further comprises redirecting the user to the personal site after the personal site is created (e.g., page 6).

85. Referring to claim 22, Doherty discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above. Doherty also discloses a method for navigating to a personal site (e.g., page 2), comprising: determining a user identity associated with a user navigating to the personal site (e.g., page 2); determining an owner identity associated with an owner of the personal site to which the user is navigating (e.g., page 2); comparing the user identity to the owner identity (e.g., page 2); redirecting the user to a first view associated with the personal site when the user identity and the owner identity are the same (e.g., page 4); and redirecting the user to a second view associated with the personal site when the user identity and the owner identity are different (e.g., page 4).

86. Referring to claim 23, Doherty discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above. Doherty also discloses wherein the first view corresponds to a private view and the second view corresponds to a public view (e.g., page 2).

87. Referring to claim 24, Doherty discloses the claimed limitations as rejected above. Doherty also discloses wherein a section contained within the personal site is different depending on the user identity (e.g., page 3).

### ***Conclusion***

88. Multiple references are used for the rejections to demonstrate that several references disclose the broadly claimed subject matter of the claims.

Art Unit: 2154

Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers and/or paragraphs and/or sections and/or page numbers in the reference(s) as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety, as potentially teaching, all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage, as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Haresh Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-3973. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday from 10:00 am to 8:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn, can be reached at (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Application/Control Number: 10/782,510

Page 24

Art Unit: 2154

~~Haresh~~ Haresh Patel

Haresh Patel

September 12, 2007